



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
09/458,302	12/10/1999	JOHN FIKES	18623-014400 8701		
26111 75	590 05/18/2004		EXAMINER		
,	SSLER, GOLDSTEIN	SCHWADRON, RONALD B			
WASHINGTO	RK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1644		

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	*							
		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/458,3	02	FIKES ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
			wadron, Ph.D.	1644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nations of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no excation. ays, a reply within the sta ory period will apply and v by statute, cause the app	vent, however, may a reply be tir tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from olication to become ABANDONE	mely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 8 133)				
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on .						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	<u> </u>							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	(s)							
1) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-station Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/458,302

Art Unit: 1644

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II and the peptide IMIGVLVGV in the paper filed 12/2/2003 is acknowledged.

- 2. Claims 42,44-48,51-64,80-167 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in paper filed 12/3/2003.
- 3. The amendment filed 12/2/2003 has necessitated the following additional species election requirement.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.

The peptide of claim 43 or 49 or 50. These peptides have distinct lengths and different sequences.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.
 - a) a peptide fused to a T helper peptide
 - b) a peptide/ liposome
 - c) a lipidized peptide
 - d) a peptide fused to a linker
 - e) a peptide fused to a carrier
 - f) a peptide fusion peptide
 - g) a peptide homopolymer
 - h) a peptide heteropolymer

These molecules are functionally distinct and contain molecules that are chemically distinct.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

Application/Control Number: 09/458,302

Art Unit: 1644

,

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571 272-0851. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Thursday from 7:30am to 6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached at 571 272 0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/458,302

Art Unit: 1644

Page 4

Edies & G. Sossaanword Prinzey Daminer Group 1560 (Loo

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1644